

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/767,289 | Applicant(s) DEANGELIS, ROBERT LOUIS | |
| | Examiner Gay Ann Spahn | Art Unit 3673 | |

All Participants:

(1) Gay Ann Spahn (Patent Examiner).

(2) George A. Willingham, III (Attorney).

Date of Interview: 7 April 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Abandoned

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10:00 am

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None.

Claims discussed:
None

Prior art documents discussed:
None.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner called Attorney George A. Willingham, III to ask whether any response had been filed to the Office Action mailed on 22 September 2005. Attorney Willingham confirmed that no response was filed.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 Suzanne Dino Barrett
 Primary Examiner

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)